



Predicting The Risk of Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) in HIV-infected patients: The D:A:D CHD Risk Equation

N Friis-Møller¹, R Thiébaud², P Reiss³, W El-Sadr⁴, R Weber⁵, A D'Arminio Monforte⁶, E Fontas⁷, S Worm⁸, O Kirk⁸, A Phillips⁹, C A Sabin⁹, JD Lundgren¹, M Law¹⁰, and the D:A:D Study Group

¹Copenhagen HIV Programme, Hvidovre University Hospital, Denmark; ²Aquitaine, INSERM Eo338 & U993, ISPED, Université Victor, Segalen Bordeaux 2, France; ³ATHENA, HIV Monitoring Foundation, Academic Medical Center, The Netherlands; ⁴CPCRA, Columbia University/Harlem Hospital, NY, USA; ⁵SHCS, Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland; ⁶ICONA, Hospital San Paolo, University of Milan, Italy; ⁷Nice Cohort, CHU Nice Hospital de l'Archet, France; ⁸EuroSIDA, Copenhagen HIV Programme (CHIP), Hvidovre University Hospital, Denmark; ⁹Royal Free Centre for HIV Medicine and Department of Primary Care and Population Sciences, Royal Free and University College, UK; ¹⁰AHOD, National Centre in HIV Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Australia

Nina Friis-Møller, MD, PhD
Copenhagen HIV Programme
Hvidovre University Hospital, Dept 044
Tel: +45 36 32 30 15
Fax: +45 36 47 33 40
E-mail: nfm@cphiv.dk

BACKGROUND

- Prevention strategies for CHD require reliable estimates of CHD risk
- No such equations exist for HIV-positive persons, where components of antiretroviral therapy may contribute to this risk
- We developed a CHD risk equation tailored to HIV-positive patients

METHODS

- The D:A:D study is a prospective, multi-national observational study formed by the collaboration of 11 cohorts of HIV-infected patients. The primary objective of the study is to establish whether the use of combination antiretroviral therapy is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease
- 23,437 HIV-infected individuals are followed at 188 clinics in Europe, the US and Australia [Table 1]
- The composite coronary heart disease endpoint (CHD) was defined as: myocardial infarction (MI), invasive coronary artery procedure (including coronary artery by-pass or angioplasty/stenting), or death from other coronary heart disease [Table 2]
- Model developed based on 9023 subjects who had full covariate data and were free of cardiovascular disease (CHD and stroke) at entry into the study [Table 1]
- The risk equation to predict CHD was developed based on parametric survival models. Different parametric models were initially considered (e.g. exponential, gompertz, weibull, log-logistic, gamma), with covariates fitted using proportional hazards and accelerated failure time models. The best fitting model was then chosen based on likelihood, Akaike's information criterion (AIC) and Schwarz's Bayesian information criterion (BIC)
- The underlying time scale was prospective follow up from baseline, and till the time of the first CHD event, the time of death, time of last follow-up visit in the study or Feb 1st, 2005, whichever occurred first
- Traditional CHD risk factors for inclusion in the model were chosen a priori and included: Age, sex, serum total cholesterol, serum HDL cholesterol, (total cholesterol:HDL ratio), blood pressure, family history of CHD, smoking (current, former, never), and diabetes mellitus

- In addition, the following covariates were considered for inclusion: duration of PI and NNRTI exposure, triglycerides, CD4-count, HIV viral load, body-mass index, reported lipodystrophy, HIV-exposure category, geographical region (three regions were determined based on the risk in the background populations based on WHO CVD mortality rates; stratified into low (<23 per 100.000 men aged 35-55 years), intermediate (23-30 per 100.000) and high (>30 per 100.000) background CVD risk). Among the latter, covariates were selected using backward selection and were included in the model only if the association with the outcome was significant (p<0.05)
- Age, smoking status, PI and NNRTI exposure were fitted as time-updated, while all other covariates took the fixed value at baseline for the analyses

- Estimates from the risk equation and the corresponding hazard ratios (HR) from a Cox model are reported
- The performance of the equation was assessed on this development dataset by testing the prognostic system's discrimination, calibration, and accuracy
- We further assessed overfitting using a heuristic estimate of model shrinkage, given by:
 - (total model chisquare – number of parameters considered) / total model chisquare
- The predictive performance was also compared to that of a conventional prediction model (the Framingham CHD risk equation)
 - When comparing our risk estimates to those obtained from the Framingham equation, particular attention should be made to differences in demographics and outcome definition used in each of the studies. In this respect, the composite CHD endpoint used in the Framingham equation is broader than the endpoint used in D:A:D [Table 2]
- The final D:A:D CHD risk equation was further used to obtain absolute risk estimates. The proportions that were at low (<1%), moderate (1-5%), high (5-10%) and very high (>10%) risk of CHD over a 5-year period were estimated

RESULTS

The D:A:D CHD Risk Equation

- Among the 9,023 individuals, 157 cases of CHD occurred over 33,594 person-years
- The best fitting parametric model was log-logistic, and included the conventional risk factors of: age, sex, family history of CHD, systolic blood pressure, smoking status (current, former, never), the ratio of TC/HDL, diabetes (fitted separately by sex due to interaction), and in addition duration of PI exposure
- The parameterization of the model (β-coefficients from the log-logistic accelerated failure model, and – for interpretability – corresponding HRs from a Cox model) is illustrated in Table 3

Table 1
Follow-up information and baseline characteristics of the included population and of the entire D:A:D Study population

	Population used for derivation of the D:A:D equation	D:A:D Study population
No. of subjects	9,023	23,437
Median follow-up (years)	4.2 (3.9-4.6)	4.5 (3.9-4.8)
Time at risk (person-years)	33,594	94,409
Baseline date	June 2000 (16-Aug 2000)	May 2000 (7-Oct-2000)
Median (IQR)		
Age (years)	39 (35-44)	39 (34-45)
PI exposure (years)	2.1 (0.0-3.3)	1.6 (0.0-2.9)
Systolic BP (mmHg)	126 (110-138)	126 (110-139)
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	80 (69-89)	80 (70-89)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.1 (4.3-6.1)	5.0 (4.2-6.4)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	1.1 (0.9-1.4)
Non-HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	3.9 (3.0-4.9)	3.9 (3.0-5.0)
Ratio Total:HDL cholesterol	4.5 (3.4-5.8)	4.4 (3.4-5.9)
Triglyceride (mmol/l)	1.2 (0.7-2.0)	1.2 (0.7-2.0)
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.9 (20.9-25.1)	23.0 (21.0-25.2)
%		
Female	25.6	24.1
Family history of CVD	8.0	6.0
Prior CVD	0	1.4
Diabetes	3.3	3.4
Current cigarette smoker	53.9	44.9
Ex-smoker	14.0	11.2
Transmission group		
Heterosexual	30.6	25.9
Homosexual	43.0	45.3
Intravenous drug use	21.5	20.1
Region		
I (low background incidence)	49.1	37.1
II (medium background incidence)	31.0	26.3
III (high background incidence)	19.9	36.7
Ethnicity		
White	57.3	47.5
Nonwhite	8.6	13.5
Unknown		39.0

See Box 1 for conversion of units

Table 2
Description of characteristics and outcome variables used in the model development datasets from the D:A:D and Framingham Cohorts

Cohort	Setting	Study population	Year of baseline examination and follow-up	Definition of myocardial infarction (MI)	Definition of coronary heart disease (CHD)
D:A:D Study	Cohort collaborations: clinics in 11 countries in Europe and Australia	HIV-infected patients (214 men and 2109 women); years of baseline	Baseline: 2000; median follow-up: 4.2 years	Fatal and non-fatal MI, including sudden death	MI, invasive coronary artery procedure, CHD death
Framingham Heart Study	Town of Framingham, Massachusetts	2520 men and 2460 women (non-related and related to Framingham); years of baseline	Baseline: 1948 to 1952; median follow-up: 12.5 years	Fatal and non-fatal MI, including sudden death	MI, angina pectoris, coronary revascularization, CHD death incl. sudden death

* proportion MI of CHD endpoint, ~70% in D:A:D versus ~95% in Framingham

Table 3
The D:A:D CHD Prediction Equation* and Corresponding Hazard Ratios (HR) from a Cox Model

Model	D:A:D equation		Cox
	β	SE	
predictor	Crude	SE	HR
Constant	-1.039	0.64	1.11
Age (years)	0.026	0.001	1.05
Age (years squared)	-0.0004	0.00001	0.99
Current cigarette smoking	0.495	0.02	1.64
Ex-smoking	-0.228	0.02	0.79
Family history of CVD	0.277	0.02	1.31
Diabetes in men	0.348	0.02	1.41
Diabetes in women	0.349	0.02	1.41
Ratio of TC:HDL (per unit higher)	0.138	0.002	1.14
Systolic blood pressure (per mmHg higher)	0.002	0.0001	1.002
Constant	11.494	0.02	
Male parameter gamma	0.933	0.02	

RESULTS (continued)

Performance of The D:A:D CHD Risk Equation & Comparison with Framingham

- The model performed well on the development dataset with regards to discriminating risks: area under the receiver-operator curve (AUROC) discrimination statistics 0.78 (95% CI 0.75-0.82) [Figure 1]
- The calibration was assessed by visually comparing Kaplan-Meier cumulative survival curves for risk of CHD and the corresponding predicted curve calculated from the D:A:D model, and found good concordance (data not shown)
- The heuristic estimate of model shrinkage estimate was <3%, suggesting that model overfitting is not likely to lead to materially poorer predictions in independent data samples.
- Overall, the D:A:D equation predicted 153 CHD events (112 MI), compared with 157(114) observed, whereas 187 (103) events were predicted by the Framingham equation [Figure 2]
- The proportional distributions in subgroups of observed and predicted CHD events by the D:A:D and Framingham risk equations, respectively, are illustrated in Figure 3
- Predictions by the D:A:D equation were accurate in sub-groups of patients according to gender and smoking status
- The Framingham equation tended to overestimate the risk in smokers [Figure 3], and among the lowest risk tertile (data not shown)

Absolute 5-Year CHD Risk Estimates

- The D:A:D CHD risk equation was used to obtain absolute 5-year CHD risk estimates. Overall, 8.5% of the study population were estimated to be at a high risk, and 3.2% at very high risk, of developing CHD over a 5-year follow-up period [Figure 4]
- These proportions were lowest in women (0.8% and 0.4% versus 1.1% and 4.1% in men), younger individuals (age <45 years in men / <55 years in women; 2.5% and 0.3% versus 28.1% and 12.5% in older), and non-smokers (4.2% and 1.5% versus 10.2% and 3.7% in current smokers)

CONCLUSIONS

- The D:A:D equation, developed on HIV-positive subjects and incorporating PI exposure as well as conventional CHD risk parameters, accurately predicted CHD outcomes in the development dataset
- The model also - more accurately than Framingham - estimated the risk of CHD in subgroups
 - However, consistent with our earlier paper², the Framingham equation slightly underpredicted the risk of MI
- The Framingham equation tended to overestimate absolute CHD risk in the D:A:D study population, which may largely be due to differences in CHD endpoint definitions
 - However, validation of the model on independent datasets is warranted to determine whether this D:A:D equation can be generalized among HIV infected individuals, and subsequently be introduced into clinical practice
- Bootstrapping, which refits the models, and reassesses model fit, on 100 replicate data sets obtained from the original development dataset, will be performed as internal validation of the D:A:D CHD risk equation
 - However, validation of the model on independent datasets is warranted to determine whether this D:A:D equation can be generalized among HIV infected individuals, and subsequently be introduced into clinical practice

References

- Anderson KM, Odell PM, Wilson PW, Kannel WB. Cardiovascular disease risk profiles. Am Heart J 1991; 121(1 Pt 2):293-298.
- Law MG, Friis-Møller N, El-Sadr WM et al. The use of the Framingham equation to predict myocardial infarctions in HIV-infected patients: comparison with observed events in the D:A:D Study. HIV Med 2006; 7(4):218-230.

Box 1. Converting units for cholesterol and triglycerides
 Cholesterol mmol/L to mg/dl: multiply by 38.5
 Triglycerides mmol/L to mg/dl: multiply by 87.7
 mg/dl to mmol/L: divide by 38.5
 mg/dl to mmol/L: divide by 87.7

Acknowledgements:

Cohort PI's: W El-Sadr * (CPCRA), G Calvo * (BASS), F Dabis * (Aquitaine), O Kirk (EuroSida), M Law * (AHOD), A d'Arminio Monforte * (ICONA), L Morfeldt * (HivBIVUS), C Pradier * (Nice), P Reiss * (ATHENA), R Weber * (SHCS), S De Wit * (Brussels). Cohort coordinators and datamanagers: S Zaheri, L Gras (ATHENA), R Thiébaud, E Balestre (Aquitaine), K Petoumenos (AHOD), S Mateu, F Torres (BASS), B Sommereijns, B Poll (Brussels), G Bartsch, G Thompson (CPCRA), J Kjaer (EuroSida), P Pezzotti (ICONA), E Fontas, C Caissotti (Nice), A Sundström, G Thulin (HivBIVUS), M Rickenbach, O Keiser (SHCS). Statisticians: CA Sabin, AN Phillips *. Community representative: S Collins *. DAD coordinating office: N Friis-Møller, S Worm, A Sawitz, JD Lundgren * & Steering Committee: Members indicated w/*; † chair; Additional members: E Loeliger *, R Tressler *, I Weller *. Funding: Oversight Committee for The Evaluation of Metabolic Complications of HAART with representatives from academia, patient community, FDA, EMEA and a consortium of Abbott, Agouron, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Pfizer, and Hoffman-La Roche*

